International University of Sarajevo

Project Proposal Evaluation Form for Internally Funded Projects (F-148)

Proposed Project:						
IUS Contact Person:						
Evaluation Criteria	**Score 1 - 5** **1 - Poor 2 - Fair 3-Good 4 - Very good 5-Excellent** *1 - Poor The criterion is addressed in an inadequate manner, or there are serious inherent weaknesses; 2 - Fair While the proposal broadly addresses the criterion, there are significant weaknesses; 3 - Good The proposal addresses the criterion well, although improvements would be necessary; 4 - Very good The proposal addresses the criterion very well, although certain improvements are still possible; 5 - Excellent The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor.*					
Impact and originality	Project proposal clearly addresses the importance of the subject.	1	2	3	4	5
	Project idea is original and supported with adequate literature.	1	2	3	4	5
	Project proposal is well prepared (i.e. clearly defines target groups and provides "needs" analysis).	1	2	3	4	5
Project Objectives	Research questions or hypothesis is clearly stated.	1	2	3	4	5
	Project objectives are clear, measurable, realistic and relevant.	1	2	3	4	5
	Project proposal demonstrates a logical and sound planning capacity.	1	2	3	4	5
Methods and Research Techniques	Project proposal explains methods and research techniques to be applied and references to the relevant literature.	1	2	3	4	5
	The methods section includes research design, dependent and independent variables, and statistical methods.	1	2	3	4	5
Budget	Project proposal describes the costs to be incurred by the project and includes an explanation of why each expenditure is necessary.	1	2	3	4	5
Risk Management	Project proposal addresses potential risks and offers a well-thought-out Plan B and measures to be taken, if potential risks are encountered.	1	2	3	4	5
	TOTAL SCORE	**00/50**				
Ethics	Does this proposal contain ethical issues that may need further attention?	YES ☐			NO ☐	
Comments						

The project proposal has been:

☐ Approved ☐ Accepted with minor revision ☐ Accepted with major revision ☐ Rejected

Project Review Committee Member #1 Dean of Faculty_____

Project Review Committee Faculty Member #2 _____

Project Review Committee Faculty Member #3 _____

Comments:

_____

Dean of Faculty

____ / ____ /____
Date

The project proposal has been: ☐ Approved ☐ Not Approved	_____ **Rector, Prof. Dr. Ali Osman Kuşakcı**